

1995 refueling outage. In addition, not performing the test during this outage will reduce the occupational radiation exposure which is consistent with as low as reasonable achievable goal of the licensee's Radiation Protection Program.

#### *Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the one-time interval extension between the first and second Type A tests and the extension of the 10-year service period to 12 years would not increase the probability or consequences of accidents previously analyzed and the proposed exemptions would not affect facility radiation levels or facility radiological effluents. The licensee has analyzed the results of previous Type A tests performed at CC2. Although the first test was not successful, the licensee promptly identified and corrected the problems. As a result, the last three tests have been successful and have demonstrated good containment performance. The licensee will continue to be required to conduct the Type B and C local leak rate tests which, subsequent to the prompt corrective actions following the initial Type A test, have been shown to be the principal means of detecting containment leakage paths with the Type A tests confirming the Type B and C test results. It is also noted that the licensee, as a condition of the proposed exemptions, will perform the visual containment inspection although it is only required by Appendix J to be conducted in conjunction with Type A tests. The NRC staff considers that these inspections, though limited in scope, provide an important added level of confidence in the continued integrity of the containment boundary. The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

#### *Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts.

#### *Alternative Use of Resources*

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit No. 2.

#### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

In accordance with its stated policy, the NRC staff consulted with the Maryland State official regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

#### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated February 24, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Calvert County Library, Prince Frederick, Maryland 20678.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of March, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Ledyard B. Marsh,**

*Director, Project Directorate I-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-6877 Filed 3-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

#### **Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Subcommittee Meeting on Planning and Procedures; Notice of Meeting**

The ACRS Subcommittee on Planning and Procedures will hold a meeting on April 5, 1995, Room T-2B1, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance, with the exception of

a portion that may be closed pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (2) and (6) to discuss organizational and personnel matters that relate solely to internal personnel rules and practices of ACRS, and matters the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

*Wednesday, April 5, 1995—2:00 p.m. Until the Conclusion of Business*

The Subcommittee will discuss proposed ACRS activities and related matters. It will also discuss the status of the appointment of members to the ACRS. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and to formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the Committee. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Subcommittee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the cognizant ACRS staff person named below five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, the scheduling of sessions open to the public, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements, and the time allotted therefore can be obtained by contracting the cognizant ACRS staff person, Dr. John T. Larkins (telephone: 301/415-7360) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EST). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one or two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any changes in schedule, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: March 15, 1995.

**Sam Duraiswamy**

*Chief, Nuclear Reactors Branch.*

[FR Doc. 95-6879 Filed 3-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

#### **OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET**

##### **National Information Infrastructure; Public Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Office of Management and Budget.

**ACTION:** National Information Infrastructure Security issues forum; notice of public meeting and request for public comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Information Infrastructure Security Issues Forum

will conduct a public meeting to continue a dialogue between government and the private and public interest sectors on issues related to the security of information on the National Information Infrastructure (NII). Interested parties—especially users and providers of services based on the public switched network as well as cable, wireless, satellite, and Internet communications—are invited to submit a 1–2 page position statement and request to testify on the subject of the availability and the reliability of the NII.

The meetings are sponsored by the NII Security Issues Forum of the Information Infrastructure Task Force and Mega-Project III of the U.S. Advisory Council on the NII.

**DATES:** The public meeting, “The NII: Will It Be There When You Need It? Will It Be Safe To Use?” will be held on Tuesday, March 28, 1995, from 9:00 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. in Room 4830 at the Department of Commerce in Washington, DC.

Those wishing to testify should submit a 1–2 page position statement and request to participate by March 20, 1995. Individuals wishing to offer general comments or present questions may request to do so during the meeting. Written comments may be submitted on paper or electronically, in ASCII format, and will be accepted until April 21, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** The public meeting will be held in Room 4830 at the Department of Commerce at 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., in Washington, DC.

Position statements and requests to appear for the meeting, “The NII: Will It Be There When You Need It? Will It Be Safe To Use?” should be sent to the National Communications Systems, 701 South Court House Road, Arlington, VA 22204, marked to the attention of Mr. Mark Centra. Position statements may also be submitted via fax to (703) 746–4960 or through electronic mail to [centram@cc.ims.disa.mil](mailto:centram@cc.ims.disa.mil). Electronic mail should be submitted as unencoded, unformatted, ASCII text.

Parties offering testimony are asked to provide them on paper, and where possible, in machine-readable format. Machine-readable submissions may be provided through electronic mail messages sent over the Internet, or on a 3.5” floppy disk formatted for use in an MS-DOS based computer. Machine-readable submissions should be provided as unencoded, unformatted ASCII text.

Written comments should include the following information:

- Name and organizational affiliation, if any, of the individual responding;

- An indication of whether comments offered represents views of the respondent’s organization or are the respondent’s personal views; and

- If applicable, information on the respondent’s organization, including the type of organization (e.g., trade association, private corporation, non-profit organization) and general areas of interest.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information relating to the availability and reliability of the NII, contact Mr. Mark Centra of the National Communications System at (703) 607–6183.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Issues for Public Comment**

###### *A. Background*

The NII is a system of high-speed telecommunications networks, databases, and advanced computer systems that will make electronic information more widely available and accessible than ever before. The NII includes not only the Internet, but also the public switched network, and cable, wireless, and satellite communications. As the network becomes more interconnected, citizens and organizations will engage in multimedia communications, as well as sell goods and services electronically, share data resources, and receive Federal benefits. This increased availability and accessibility of services and products provided through information technology will dramatically affect the way in which individuals conduct their everyday affairs.

Consequently, broad public and commercial use of the NII hinges upon implementing technologies, policies, and practices that not only ensure that users of information systems have access to information when and where they need it, but that subjects of information records are able to protect themselves from unauthorized or inappropriate access to or use of information.

“Americans will not use the NII to its full potential unless they trust that information will go where and when they want it and nowhere else,” declared Sally Katzen, Administrator of the Office of Information Regulatory Affairs at OMB and chair of the Forum. “The Federal government is a primary user of the NII and thus a catalyst for change. Yet the NII will be designed, built, owned, operated, and used primarily by the private sector, making it essential that security on the NII be considered in partnership with the public.”

To address these critical issues, the Vice president formed the Information Infrastructure Task Force (IITF). The IITF is chaired by Secretary of Commerce Ron Brown and is comprised of senior Administration officials having expertise in technical, legal, and policy areas pertinent to the NII. The Mission of the IITF is to articulate and implement the Administration’s vision for the NII. The NII Security Issues Forum was established within the IITF to address the cross-cutting issue of security in the NII.

In addition to the IITF, the President has established the U.S. Advisory Council on the National Information Infrastructure. The Advisory Council represents industry, labor, and public interest groups, and advises the Secretary of Commerce on issues relating to the NII. Mega-Project III, one of three work groups of the Advisory Council, is responsible for addressing security, intellectual property, and privacy issues as they relate to the NII.

The public meetings are part of an ongoing dialogue with the Administration to assess the security needs and concerns of users of the National Information Infrastructure (NII). The testimony of the meeting participants will form the basis of a report being developed by the NII Security Issues Forum. “The NII Security Plan.” The Security Plan will: Outline findings of security needs; present an analysis of technical, legal and architectural issues relating to security; discuss the Federal and private sector roles in meeting these need; and propose milestones towards the achievement of Federal roles.

###### *B. Structure and Content of Public Meeting*

Security—or the confidentiality, integrity, availability, and reliability of information and services provided on the NII—is linked inextricably to its broad public use. The Forum and Mega-Project III seek input from parties representing individual and corporate users of communications networks as well as providers of communications services.

Security will determine whether, how, and to what extent the NII will be used in such critical applications as enabling electronic commerce, providing public information, training and educating students, supporting the efficient delivery of government services, and utilizing intelligent transportation systems.

NII security will be supported by technology, as well as by a sound legal and policy framework. The Forum and Mega-Project III seek input in this area

as well. Specifically, what technologies, legal remedies, and policy frameworks, or combinations thereof, can be used to effectively protect the security of the Internet, the public switched network, and other communications systems?

A panel of witnesses drawn from the public will be assembled to discuss the following topics with a panel of senior Administration officials, members of the Security Issues forum, and members of the U.S. Advisory Council on the NII, and to field questions and comments from other members of the public.

The public meeting will consist of two panels. The first panel, entitled "Experiences and Expectations," representing users of the NII, should address questions in three principal areas:

1. As systems evolve from a closed to a more open status, what are your expectations and needs regarding the availability and reliability of services and information on the NII? Examples of risks include loss of proprietary or personal information or network disruptions or outages.

2. How does your organization plan to ensure that information and underlying systems are available to legitimate users? Consider technical, managerial, and legal strategies.

3. How should government support the reliability and availability of the NII? What government policies or guidance would bolster your confidence in the NII?

The second panel, entitled, "current State of Affairs and Future Challenges," represented industry providers of communications services, whether cable, wireless, satellite, Internet, or public switched network communications, should address questions in three principal areas:

1. What are the security risks faced by industry providers of communications services today? As networks evolve from a closed status to a more open one, how will the interoperability of systems and the expansion of universal access affect availability and reliability? How do you plan to address potential threats such as network disruptions and outages or degradation of service as new services are implemented? Consider technical, managerial, and legal strategies.

2. Do you feel that end-users are aware of the level of availability and reliability associated with various components of the NII? What steps have you taken to educate or meet the expectations of the user in the areas of availability and reliability of the NII, particularly within the Internet?

3. How should government support availability and reliability in the NII? Some examples might include

legislation, public education, or regulation.

## II. Guidelines for Participation in the Public Hearing

Individuals who would like to participate on a panel must request an opportunity to do so no later than March 20, 1995, by submitting a brief, 1-2 page summary position statement. If approved, each participant will be allowed to present brief opening remarks. Primary participation, however, shall be during the general discussion to follow, according to the format described above.

Participants in the public meeting will testify before and participate in discussions with a panel consisting of members of the Advisory Council, members of the Security Issues Forum, and other Administration officials.

Individuals not selected as panel participants may offer comments or ask questions of the witnesses by requesting an opportunity to do so and being recognized during the meeting by the chairs of the meetings. Oral remarks offered in this fashion should not exceed three minutes. No advance approval is required to attend the public meetings, offer comments, or present questions.

The public meeting will be chaired by Ms. Sally Katzen, Chair of the NII Security Issues Forum. The meeting will be co-chaired by Mr. Bob Marquette, Deputy Manager, National Communications Systems; Mr. Tom Sugrue, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Communications and Information, National Telecommunications and Information Administration; and Mr. Robert Pepper, Chief, Office of Plans & Policy, Federal Communications Commission.

More information about the Clinton Administration's National Information Infrastructure initiative can be obtained from the IITF Secretariat. Inquiries may be directed to Yvette Barrett at (202) 482-1835, by e-mail to [ybarrett@ntia.doc.gov](mailto:ybarrett@ntia.doc.gov), or by mail to U.S. Department of Commerce, IITF Secretariat, NTIA, Room 4892, Washington, DC 20230.

For inquiries over the Internet to the IITF Gopher Server, <gopher>, <telnet> (login = <gopher>), or anonymous <ftp> to [iitf.doc.gov](http://iitf.doc.gov). Access is also available over the World-Wide-Web. Questions may be addressed to [nii@ntia.doc.gov](mailto:nii@ntia.doc.gov).

For access by modem, dial (202) 501-1920 and set modem communications parameters at no parity, 8 data bits, and

one stop (N,8,1). Modem speeds of up to 14,400 baud are supported.

**Sally Katzen,**

*Administrator, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 95-6882 Filed 3-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3110-01-M

---

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

### Notice of Request for Reclearance of an Information Collection for Comprehensive Medical Plans

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice.

---

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (title 44, U.S. Code, chapter 35), this notice announces a request for reclearance of an information collection.

**Comprehensive Medical Plans: Applications to Participate in Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB) Program and Contractor Records Retention** is used by OPM to determine if Comprehensive Medical Plans applying for participation in the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program meet the requirements for participation. The second part of this clearance covers recordkeeping requirements imposed on the plans that participate in the FEHB program for the purpose of contract auditing and monitoring.

The total annual reporting burden is estimated to be 13,230 hours based on 49 applications at an average time burden of 270 hours per plan. The recordkeeping burden is estimated to be 300 hours. Therefore, the total annual reporting burden including both recordkeeping and reporting requirements equals 13,530 (13,230 plus 300) hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Doris R. Benz on (703) 908-8564.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received on or before April 20, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Send or deliver comments to—

Kenneth H. Glass, Chief, Insurance Operations Division, Retirement and Insurance Group, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 3415, Washington, DC 20415

and

Joseph Lackey, OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information and, Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office